Environment, Social and Governance; Vol.1, No.1; 2024
E-ISSN: 3006-3973 P-ISSN: 3006-3965
DOI: https://doi.org/10.70267/0v8bsc94
Published by: Zeus Press

# Examining the Influence of ESG Reporting on Investment Strategies: The Crucial Role of Accounting Information

**Bowen Deng**
*Limai International School, Beijing 100020, China*
**Corresponding author: Bowen Deng, E-mail: davydeng0625@outlook.com.*

## Abstract

This study asks many questions about attempts to find the truth about the very deep influence of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) statements on people's investment decisions, with a particular focus on the supportive role of accounting information. Through a mixed-method approach that combines investor surveys and financial report analysis, this research examines the relationships among clear open and honest ESG reporting, accounting quality, and investment attractiveness. The results show a positive relationship between high-quality ESG statements to people and firm performance, indicating that investors value companies in order of importance, clearness, open honesty, and honesty and right responsibility. Furthermore, this study highlights the extremely important function of accounting standards in ensuring the believability and comparability of ESG data across firms, which can improve investor confidence. Recommendations are given to companies to improve their ESG reporting methods, hug advanced ways of doing things, and work together with the ability to keep something around or keep something going experts. Additionally, people or businesses who provide money to help start businesses provide opinions about what could or should be done about a situation to combine different things so that they work as one unit of ESG judging requirements in their decision-making processes, while policymakers are encouraged to establish strong and healthy rules and help increase ESG investing education.

### Keywords

ESG disclosures, investment decisions, accounting information, sustainability reporting, investor confidence

## 1. Introduction

The relationship between environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors and investment returns has been widely researched, with a growing body of literature suggesting a strong connection (Khan et al., 2016). Studies point to/show that companies with strong ESG performance tend to outperform those with weaker records over a long period of time, as they are better at managing risks and taking advantage of making money from opportunities, leading to improved financial performance (Amir & Serafeim, 2018). Moreover, ESG factors can add to a company's reputation-based capital, increasing the person or business who provides money to increase business confidence and the possibility of higher returns (Porter & Kramer, 2011). However, some educated people caution that this relationship may not be plain due to different evening-out regulating factors, such as industry area, location in the world, and macroeconomic conditions.

Investors' perceptions and use of ESG information differ widely and are influenced by their values, rules, and expected results (Amir & Serafeim, 2018). Some investors view ESG data as an important part of evaluating a company's long-term ability to be done and match it in a straight line with socially responsible goals, often employing ESG examinations and testing so that a decision can be made judging requirements to include or keep out securities from their mixes of stocks, bonds, etc., document collection based on ESG performance (Amir & Serafeim, 2018). Others focus on ESG as a means to identify possible risks that could affect a company's financial performance, combining different things together so that they work as one unit of ESG data in their traditional financial analyses (Amir & Serafeim, 2018).

Accounting standards play an extremely important role in making something look or work the same way every time ESG statements are sent to people, ensuring that comparability and clearness open honesty across firms. Organizations such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) have developed frameworks for reporting ESG information, helping firms tell people clearly connected or related ESG numbers that measure things regularly all the time (Clark et al., 2014; Eccles & Krzus, 2010). Accounting bodies such as the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) have also been working on combining different things together, so they work as one unit of ESG to carefully think about financial reporting standards, recognizing the value relevance of such information for financial statement users (Healy & Palepu, 2001).

Even though there is an increasing focus on ESG reporting, practices among firms change significantly (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). Some companies work at complete and thorough ESG reporting as part of their corporate strategy, while others report on the surface or in a picky way where only certain things are selected to obey rules or avoid negative public perception without fully combining different things together, so they work as one unit of ESG information to carefully think about their core business success plans for reaching goals (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). There is also a general way that third parties check for the truth of ESG reports to address concerns over greenwashing and increased believability (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). Additionally, technology plays an increasingly important role in helping ESG data collection, management, and reporting through raised, flat supporting surfaces that automate the process and improve the quality of being very close to the truth or true number (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020).

## 2. Research Methodology

To answer many questions about the effect of ESG statements on people's investment decisions and the supporting role of accounting information, a mixed-method approach is employed for data collection. This study considers both the quality of things without measuring them with numbers and the quality of things with number data, including surveys directed at investors and financial report analysis from selected companies.

Survey data are collected through an online list of questions distributed to many different kinds of people or things in the pool of investors, providing responses related to the importance of ESG statements to people in investment decision-making processes and the extent to which accounting information influences such decisions (Amir & Serafeim, 2018).

Financial report analysis focuses on the examination of once-a-year reports and the ability to keep something around or keep something going reports from a sample of publicly traded companies recognized for their loyalty to ESG statements to people (Schoenmaker & Schramade, 2018). These reports are carefully studied to evaluate the amount, quality, and state of always working or appearing the same way that ESG information is provided to people over a specified period.

The triangulation of these data sets allows for a strong and healthy exploration of the research questions by providing evidence that was seen from both open to opinion and judging, not a black-and-white person or business who provides money to help people start business preferences and unemotional and factual company statements.

Data analysis employs both moving backward analysis and content analysis methods. Moving back-to-back analysis identifies and puts into number the relationships between the degree to which an ESG tells people of a known quality of accounting information and the investment decisions made by investors (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Serafeim & Yoon, 2021). Content analysis is applied to the story sections of corporate reports to determine the quality of things without measuring them with numbers to evaluate the nature and big picture of ESG statements (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Schoenmaker & Schramade, 2018).

The sample selection aims to accomplish or gain effort from a representative group of companies and investors, using purposive sampling for companies known for strong ESG reporting practices and, in separate layers, random sampling for people or businesses who provide money to help businesses take control of a broad spectrum of investment behaviors and attitudes toward ESG statements (Amir & Serafeim, 2018).

The research solid basic structure on which bigger things can be built combines different things together, so they work as one unit of the ideas of ESG to tell people who are making known, accounting information quality, and investment decision-making, suggesting a dependent relationship between these factors (Whelan et al., 2021), within which the quality and extent of ESG statements to people affect how people or businesses who give money to help start businesses perceive firms' overall ability to keep something around or keep something going and their investment choices, while accounting information moderates this relationship.

## 3. Empirical Results

An empirical study revealed a growing desire for environmental and social data as significant factors in investment decision-making processes (Amir & Serafeim, 2018). Investors show a particular interest in ESG statements to people related to carbon things sent out or given off, valuable supply wasting very little while working or producing something, and labor practices, believing that companies with strong and healthy ESG performance show greater long-term profitability and risk management abilities (Chava, 2014).

The quality and state of always working or appearing the same way that information about firms' ESG performance is communicated to people significantly affects its usefulness in investment decisions (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). A relatively large unexpected difference exists among companies in terms of the level of detail, quality of being very close to the truth or true number, and comparability of their ESG reports (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). This study uses a content analysis approach to closely examine the quality of statements to people across different firms, evaluating the relevance, timeliness, and reliability of people's information (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020; Schoenmaker & Schramade, 2018). The results suggest a strong relationship between high-quality ESG reporting and positive perceptions from the investment community, as consistent reporting over time allows for effective supervision of a company's progress toward its ESG goals, increasing the number of people or businesses who provide money to help increase business confidence (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Whelan et al., 2021).

The relationship between accounting information disclosure and investment choices has also been closely examined, so the truth can be found (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Serafeim & Yoon, 2021). Moving backward models show or prove that companies with higher levels of accounting clearness and open honesty tend to have lower capital costs and are preferred by investors who are trying to help reduce risks connected with information left and right side not matching (Buallay, 2018). Moreover, there is a positive interaction effect between the quality of financial reporting and the extent to which a company's ESG efforts are recognized by investors (Serafeim & Yoon, 2021). In other words, when strong and healthy ESG data are connected with exact and complete and thorough financial information, the overall attractiveness of the company to investors improves, leading to increased investment and a better market for determining the amount of money that is worth doing so (Serafeim & Yoon, 2021; Whelan et al., 2021).

## 4. Discussion

These findings have significant effects on management practices, especially in the world of ESG statements. As investors increasingly think about ESG data in their decision-making processes (Amir & Serafeim, 2018), companies should put in order of importance on clearness openness and honesty and combine different things so that they can work as one unit of ESG information to carefully think about their business success plans for reaching goals (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). Firms that do so may benefit from improved reputation and the possibility of increased investment (Porter & Kramer, 2011).

The quality and state of always working or providing ESG information to people are very important factors influencing investor confidence (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020; Whelan et al., 2021). Companies should aim to provide complete and thorough, very close to the truth or true numbers, and perform or look the same way every time ESG reporting occurs by adhering to established solid basic structures on which bigger things can be built, such as the GRI or SASB standards (Clark et al., 2014; Eccles & Krzus, 2010; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). This not only helps comparisons across firms but also allows for a more detailed and thoughtful understanding of the firm's promise to be able to continue helping planetary practices among investors (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020).

Additionally, the supportive role of accounting information is extremely important (Buallay, 2018; (Healy & Palepu, 2001). Financial reports provide a basis for investors to evaluate the financial effects of a company's attempts to increase its ESG (Healy & Palepu, 2001). Therefore, firms should combine different things so that they can work as one unit of ESG numbers that measures things in their financial reporting processes, reflecting the true costs and benefits connected with ESG activities (Healy & Palepu, 2001).

When comparing results across different businesses and areas, important differences arise (Orsato & Clegg-Lamb, 2020)(Schoenmaker & Schramade, 2018). Compared to more traditional areas such as oil and gas, businesses such as renewable energy and technology appear to place greater emphasis on ESG statements to people, likely reflecting changing degrees of investor pressure and the built-in nature of industry operations (Schoenmaker & Schramade, 2018). Regional comparisons also show clear separate patterns, with European companies generally displaying a greater natural tendency for described ESG reporting compared to other areas, possibly due to strict legal solid basic structures on which larger things can be built and a more well-known culture of corporate responsibility (Orsato & Clegg-Lamb, 2020).

While this study provides a valuable understanding of deep things, it is extremely important to respond to its limitations. The sample selection was mostly composed of large, publicly traded companies, possibly limiting the ability of the findings to be applied to broader situations of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Moreover, the geographical scope was limited, possibly leaving out opinions of view from newly visible markets where ESG knowledge about something and practices might differ significantly.

Future research should address these limitations by incorporating a wider range of people or firms, including SMEs, and expanding the geographical scope to include a wider spectrum of countries at different stages of money-based development. Future studies could further investigate the particular ways through which ESG statements affect different parts of investment decisions, such as risk evaluation, the mixing of stocks, bonds, etc., document collection involving different types of things, and long-term wealth creation (Amir & Serafeim, 2018). Another promising avenue is to explore the interaction between ESG factors and the adoption of new technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain, which have the ability to completely change and improve how companies collect, report, and check for truth to prove true ESG data (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020).

## 5. Additional Analysis: The Role of ESG in Sustainable Finance

The findings of the study highlight the important role that strong and healthy and/or made to look the same way every time environmental, social, and governance (ESG) reporting solid basic structures on which bigger things can be built play in helping clearness open honesty and believability within the quickly changing and getting better able to last helping the planet finance wide view of the landscape (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). As investors' demand for ESG-matched financial products continues to increase, driven by a growing recognition of the long-term value creation and risk management benefits connected with the ability to continue helping planetary practices (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Clark et al., 2014), the quality and comparability of ESG data have become the most important things to carefully consider (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020).

Investors who are able to help the planet finance tools or objects used to do work or measure something, such as green written promises to pay money back from a loan, the ability to keep something around, or the ability to keep something going-linked, and impact investment money, try to make even their capital set apart and distribute with companies actively dealing with environmental, social, and governance (Amir & Serafeim, 2018). By doing so, they aim to create competitive financial returns while at the same time adding to the change from one thing to another toward a greater ability to help the planet and a fair process of people making, selling, and buying things (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Fatemi & Fooladi, 2013). However, the effectiveness of these products depends on the availability of reliable and consistent ESG data, enabling investors to evaluate the ability to keep something around or keep something going effects and long-term ability to complete their investments in a way that is close to the truth or true number (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020).

Companies that prevent problems before they happen and adopt well-known basic ESG reporting structures on which bigger things can be built, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) or the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), may gain a competitive advantage in attracting the ability to last to help the planet's financial capital (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Eccles & Krzus, 2010; Global Reporting Initiative, 2020). By showing a promise to clear open and honest and done or made to look the same way every time ESG disclosure occurs, sticking to established reporting methods of basic truths and numbers that measure things, these companies improve their believability and appeal to investors seeking to integrate sustainability considerations into their investment strategies (Amir & Serafeim, 2018). Investors can then make more smart choices based on learning things, giving capital to companies that effectively manage ESG risks and opportunities, and making even with their ability to keep something around or keep something going goals (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Khan et al., 2016).

Moreover, the combination of different things together that work as one unit of ESG factors into part of the regular majority of people's financial reporting and accounting standards, as fought for by the study, has the ability to increase the believability and comparability of the ability to keep something around or keep something going information (Flammer, 2021). Done or made to look the same way every time accounting practices for ESG data, the same as the widely accepted ways of thinking and controlling traditional financial reporting, can help the development of more fancy able to help planet finance products (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Flammer, 2021). These may include the ability to keep something around or keep something going-linked derivatives, ESG-indexed possible ways to make money, or ESG-focused exchange-traded funds (ETFs), enabling investors to gain exposure to companies with strong ESG performance or specific abilities to last to help the planet attempt to begin something new (Amir & Serafeim, 2018).

With the ability to help the planet, the finance market continues its fast act of increasing, widening, etc., driven by growing investor demand, and legal bodies and policymakers play an important role in beginning and building on strong and healthy basic structures on which bigger things can be built and guidelines for ESG reporting and informing people (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). Consistent and able to be backed up with punishment if a rule is broken, standards can help reduce the risk of greenwashing—the practice of sneaky and false investors about a company's ability to keep something around, or keep something going efforts through selective or sneaky and false telling to people making known—and make sure of the honest and good human wholeness or completeness of being able to continue helping planet finance products (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). This, in turn, can help develop trust and confidence among investors, encouraging greater capital setting apart and distributing toward being able to last, helping the planet attempt to begin something new and helping companies to show honest promise to ESG methods of basic truth (Amir & Serafeim, 2018).

Moreover, the study's findings highlight the ability to connect ESG reporting, investment decision-making, and the growth of sustainable finance (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Khan et al., 2016; Serafeim & Yoon, 2021; Whelan et al., 2021). As companies increasingly make even their practices with the ability to keep something around or keep something going to think about and support clear, open and honestly telling ESG to people making known, high-quality ESG reporting and accounting standards become extremely important enablers for unlocking the full possible power or ability within sustainable finance (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Flammer, 2021; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). This honest and good cycle can drive the change toward a more able-to-last helping planet and fair economic system, where financial markets are permanently tangled together, linked to the ability to keep something around worldwide or to maintain goals such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the Paris Agreement on climate change (Amir & Serafeim, 2018).

By dealing with the challenges connected with ESG reporting and helping the development of greater clearness open honesty, companies can better position themselves to attract sustainable finance capital, while investors can make more smart choices based on learning things and set apart and distribute capital toward truly sustainable attempts to begin something new (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). This matching up in a straight line can speed up the change to a low-carbon, socially responsible, and well-ruled managed process of people making, selling, and buying things, where businesses, in a way that prevents problems before they happen, manage their surrounding conditions and social effects, and investors can create competitive financial returns while contributing to positive societal and environmental outcomes (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Fatemi & Fooladi, 2013).

Furthermore, the combination of different things together that work as one unit of ESG factors in investment decision-making processes can drive companies to improve their ability to keep something around or keep something going to perform, as they recognize the growing importance of ESG things to carefully think about in attracting capital and maintaining their competitive advantage (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Khan et al., 2016). This is when information about something is constantly returned to help improve it can help produce an honest and good cycle of continuous improvement, where companies try to meet or go beyond ESG standards, investors are rewarded sustainable practices with increased capital setting apart and distributing, and the overall ability to keep something around or keep something going through the process of people making, selling, and buying things is greater (Amir & Serafeim, 2018).

As the sustainable finance market continues to change and become increasingly mature, group efforts between companies, people or businesses who provide money to help start businesses, devices that control groups of people who ensure that rules are followed, and other investors will be extremely important in beginning and building on sang together well ESG reporting solid basic structures on which bigger things can be built and dealing with data quality and comparability challenges (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). Attempts to begin something new, such as the International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB), established by the IFRS Foundation, aim to develop a complete and thorough worldwide measure of what naturally occurs in the sports boundary line for the ability to keep something around or to keep something going telling to people making known standards, helping consistent and similar ESG reporting across legal controls (Amir & Serafeim, 2018).

Overall, the findings of the study draw attention to the extremely important role of ESG reporting and accounting standards in unlocking the full possible power or ability within sustainable finance (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Flammer, 2021; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). By helping clearness open honesty, believability, and comparability of the ability to keep something around or keep something going information, companies can improve their access to sustainable finance capital, while investors can make smart choices based on learning things that make even their financial goals with environmental, social, and governance considerations (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Khan et al., 2016). This cooperation between ESG reporting and sustainable finance can help produce a change toward a more sustainable and fair economic system where financial markets actively add to dealing with the ability to keep something around or keep something challenging worldwide (Amir & Serafeim, 2018).

## 6. Conclusions and Recommendations

This study has revealed the very deep influence of ESG statements on investment decisions, with a particular focus on the supportive function of accounting information (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Serafeim & Yoon, 2021; Whelan et al., 2021). It was established that when investors are furnished with quality and complete and thorough ESG information, they tend to make more smart choices based on learning, which always affects market patterns of relationships, movement, or sound (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020; Serafeim & Yoon, 2021; Whelan et al., 2021). The results revealed a positive relationship between clear open and honest ESG reporting and firm performance, indicating that investors value firms that put in order of importance on clearness openness, honesty, and honest and right responsibility (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Whelan et al., 2021).

Moreover, research has identified a strong link between accounting information and investors' decision-making processes (Buallay, 2018; (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Healy & Palepu, 2001; Serafeim & Yoon, 2021). Accounting standards provide a solid basic structure on which bigger things can be built within which ESG data should be told to people, ensuring comparability and a state of always working or appearing the same way across firms (Buallay, 2018; (Healy & Palepu, 2001). Loyalty to high-quality accounting standards is related to increased investor confidence due to the reliability and believability of the information told to people, strengthening or adding support to the importance of accounting bodies in constantly making more pure standards to include ESG factors (Buallay, 2018; (Healy & Palepu, 2001).

To take advantage of the benefits of ESG statements to people, companies should put into use actions to prevent problems before they can improve their reporting methods (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). First, firms should work hard for improved clearness and open honesty by not being forced beyond minimum reporting needed things where possible to be done, supporting advanced reporting methods of doing things such as combining different things together so that they work as one unit of reporting (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). Second, working together between accounting professionals and the ability to keep something around or keep something going experts is extremely important to ensure the quality of being very close to the truth or true number and meaningfulness of ESG data (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). Training programs for staff involved in ESG reporting can also increase the quality of statements (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). Moreover, supporting technological solutions such as blockchain can help improve traceability and checking for truth in ESG data, improving believability and reducing the risk of greenwashing (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). Additionally, regular engagement with stakeholders, including investors, devices that control groups of people who ensure that rules are followed, and a community of people living well together, can provide an understanding of their informational needs, guiding companies toward more effective reporting practices (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). Firms should view these successful ways of reaching goals not only as a means to meet legal requirements following the law, as you are told but also as an opportunity to distinguish themselves in the market, build trust with investors and investors, and contribute to a more sustainable economy (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020; Porter & Kramer, 2011).

For investors, it is most important to consider the long-term effects of ESG factors when making investment decisions (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Khan et al., 2016). While traditional financial indicators remain significant, this research suggests that incorporating ESG judging requirements can lead to better risk evaluation and the possibility of long-term returns (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Khan et al., 2016; Whelan et al., 2021). Investors should therefore exercise very careful accounting review by asking many detailed questions about ESG reports, paying close attention to the quality, state of always working or appearing the same way, and completeness of information told to people (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). Furthermore, they should encourage conversations with investee companies to help develop openness and continuous improvement in ESG performance (KPMG International Cooperative, 2020).

Policymakers play an extremely important role in setting the stage for ESG statements to people by beginning and building on strong and healthy rules and standards (Fatemi & Fooladi, 2013; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). This research highlights the need for clear guidelines that order people to know about material ESG issues, supported by enforcement measures to prevent greenwashing and ensure honest promises to help the planet's practices (Fatemi & Fooladi, 2013; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). Moreover, policymakers should work in a cooperative way with industry leaders and the world of college to keep them informed of changes and to better understand how general ESG practices are going and combine different things so that they can work as one unit of the insights of the updated rules (Fatemi & Fooladi, 2013). Encouraging educational attempts to begin something new about ESG investing can also help to increase knowledge about something and help increase best practices among a wider range of market participants (Fatemi & Fooladi, 2013; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020).

In conclusion, this study provides valuable insights into the effect of ESG statements on people's investment decisions, highlighting the extremely important support given by accounting information (Buallay, 2018; (Amir & Serafeim, 2018; Healy & Palepu, 2001; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020; Serafeim & Yoon, 2021). As we move toward a future where the ability to keep things around or keep things going is increasingly valued, it is the responsibility of company investors and policymakers to support ESG reporting as a very important part of responsible business practices (Fatemi & Fooladi, 2013; KPMG International Cooperative, 2020). By doing so, they will not only add to the well-being of society and the environment but also pave the way for a richer and more equitable economic landscape (European Union, 2014; Fatemi & Fooladi, 2013).

## References


Amir, A. Z., & Serafeim, G. (2018). Why and how investors use ESG information: Evidence from a global survey. *Financial Analysts Journal, 74*(3), 87-103. https://doi.org/10.2469/FAJ.V74.N3.2

Chava, S. (2014). Environmental externalities and cost of capital. *Management Science, 60*(9), 2223-2247. https://doi.org/10.1287/MNSC.2013.1863

Clark, G. L., Feiner, A., & Viehs, M. (2014). *From the stockholder to the stakeholder: How sustainability can drive financial outperformance*. SSRN. https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.2508281

Eccles, R. G., & Krzus, M. P. (2010). *One report: Integrated reporting for a sustainable strategy*. John Wiley & Sons.

European Union. (2014). *Directive 2014/95/EU amending directive 2013/34/EU as regards disclosure of non-financial and diversity information by certain large undertakings and groups*. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32014L0095

Fatemi, A. M., & Fooladi, I. J. (2013). Sustainable finance: A new paradigm. *Global Finance Journal, 24*(2), 101-113. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfj.2013.07.006

Flammer, C. (2021). Corporate green bonds. *Journal of Financial Economics, 142*(2), 499-516. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2021.01.010

Global Reporting Initiative. (2020). *Gri standards*.

Healy, P. M., & Palepu, K. G. (2001). Information asymmetry, corporate disclosure, and the capital markets: A review of the empirical disclosure literature. *Journal of Accounting and Economics, 31*(1-3), 405-440. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-4101(01)00018-0

Khan, M., Serafeim, G., & Yoon, A. (2016). Corporate sustainability: First evidence on materiality. *Accounting Review, 91*(6), 1697-1724. https://doi.org/10.2308/ACCR-51383

KPMG International Cooperative. (2020). *ESG: Environmental, social, governance*. https://assets.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmg/uk/pdf/2020/08/esg-brochure.pdf

Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review, 89*(1/2), 62-77.

Schoenmaker, D., & Schramade, W. (2018). *Principles of sustainable finance*. Oxford University Press.

Serafeim, G., & Yoon, A. (2021). Stock price reactions to ESG news: The role of ESG ratings and disagreement. *Review of Accounting Studies, 28*, 1500–1530. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11142-022-09675-3

Whelan, T., Atz, U., & Clark, C. (2021). *ESG and financial performance: Uncovering the relationship by aggregating evidence from 1,000 plus studies published between 2015–2020*. NYU Stern Center for Sustainable Business. https://www.stern.nyu.edu/sites/default/files/assets/documents/NYU-RAM_ESG-Paper_2021.pdf

## Funding

This research received no external funding.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgment

Not Applicable.