International Journal of Asian Social Science Research; Vol.3, No.1; 2026
E-ISSN: 3006-2179 P-ISSN：3006-754X
DOI: https://doi.org/10.70267/ijassr.260301.1319
Published by: Zeus Press

AIGC's Impact on 2D Animation Professionals: Disruption, Opportunity, and Governance in a Reconfigured Production Chain

Tingxi Yan *

School of Photography, Communication University of China Nanjing, Nanjing, 210000, China

**Corresponding author: Tingxi Yan*

Abstract

Generative AI-often discussed in Chinese contexts as AIGC-has begun to reshape 2D animation work by lowering iteration costs in pre-production, accelerating parts of asset creation, and enabling rapid multi-variant marketing outputs. Yet these productivity gains come with uneven labor impacts and heightened governance constraints. Tasks that are modular, repetitive, and evaluable at the frame or asset level are more exposed to automation and price compression, while roles requiring narrative judgment, performance timing, and cross-shot consistency are more likely to be "augmented" rather than replaced. At the same time, legal and policy developments increasingly make provenance, authorship control, and training-data questions practical constraints on commercial deployment-especially for studios seeking copyright protection and low-risk distribution. Drawing on a production-chain ("task chain") framework, this paper analyzes where AIGC substitutes, where it augments, why it can narrow entry-level pathways while increasing demand for supervisory and pipeline roles, and what new opportunity pathways are emerging. It argues that AIGC functions less as a single replacement technology than as a value reallocation engine: competitive advantage shifts toward professionals who can translate creative intent into controllable workflows, enforce consistency, and document compliant production.

Keywords

AIGC, generative AI, 2D animation, creative labor, diffusion models, workflow governance, copyright, provenance

1. Introduction

2D animation has always been both an art and an industrial process: a narrative medium built through specialized roles distributed across a production pipeline. In the last two years, generative AI tools have moved from peripheral experimentation to everyday presence in creative industries, including animation. The most immediate change is not that AI "can draw," but that it can produce large volumes of plausible visual candidates quickly, shifting the economics of ideation, iteration, and asset variation. This change pressures long-standing labor structures in animation because many pipeline tasks-especially in pre-production and asset refinement-are already modular and standardized. When a tool makes certain outputs cheap to generate, the scarcity shifts away from the act of generating and toward decisions about what to keep, how to control consistency, and how to ensure the final deliverable is legally safe for commercial release.

However, animation is not illustration. A single striking image does not guarantee a coherent scene, and a coherent scene does not guarantee a consistent episode. The core production challenge is temporal: sustaining character identity, proportion, line quality, and lighting logic across shots and sequences. As a result, the most meaningful question for 2D professionals is not "Will AI replace artists?" but "Which tasks become automated, which become supervised, and which become more valuable because they remain difficult to formalize?" This is where a task-chain approach becomes useful. Instead of discussing "artists" as a single category, we can analyze the pipeline from pre-production to production to post-production/marketing and evaluate how AIGC interacts with the input–output rules and quality controls of each stage.

The stakes are not purely economic. The legal status of generative outputs, the requirements for copyrightability, and disputes around training data increasingly shape what studios can safely deploy. The U.S. Copyright Office's ongoing work on AI and copyright shows that commercial creators cannot treat authorship and provenance as afterthoughts [1]. In particular, the degree of human creative control can affect whether a work is registrable and how it is protected [2]. Industry organizations and guilds similarly frame generative AI as a bargaining and governance issue, not simply a software upgrade [3, 4].

This paper therefore argues that AIGC's impact on 2D animation should be understood as a reconfiguration of the production chain and professional skill bundle. AIGC increases speed and decreases exploration cost, but it also intensifies the need for workflow designers, supervisors of style consistency, and provenance managers who can guarantee quality and defensibility. In short: value migrates toward professionals who can command the pipeline-artistically, technically, and legally.

2. Background: Why Diffusion and Generative Video Matter for 2D Animation

2.1 Diffusion Models and Controllable Image Synthesis

Many contemporary AIGC tools build on diffusion models, which have become central to high-quality image synthesis. Latent diffusion approaches demonstrate how models can produce detailed outputs while operating efficiently in a compressed representation space [5]. For animation workflows, the key practical outcome is not only generation but controllable editing-variations, inpainting, reference conditioning, and style transfer-because production art depends on revision cycles, not one-off results. The ability to iterate quickly changes the role of concept design and early visualization: teams can explore many compositions, palettes, or prop designs before committing to expensive hand refinement.

2.2 Temporal Coherence and Generative Video

The next frontier is temporal coherence. Research on generative video and animation-oriented diffusion methods signals a direction: generating sequences that preserve identity and motion continuity. Approaches like AnimateDiff enable motion in diffusion-driven image models without intensive retraining, illustrating the broader drive to stabilize time-based generation [6]. Commercial tools also emphasize text-to-video or image-to-video capabilities, reinforcing the industry's expectation that sequence generation will become more accessible even if quality remains uneven [7]. For 2D professionals, this implies that early-stage animatics, mood reels, and exploratory motion concepts may be created faster, while final animation still requires rigorous human-led timing, acting choices, and consistency checks.

2.3 Governance as a Practical Production Constraint

A third background dimension is governance. International guidance on generative AI emphasizes responsible adoption frameworks-clarity on use cases, accountability, and risk mitigation [8]. In creative industries, the equivalent is a studio policy that defines what is permitted, what must be documented, and what must be entirely avoided. This matters because AIGC does not simply increase output; it can increase the probability of untraceable or legally ambiguous inputs entering the pipeline.

3. Method: A Task-Chain Framework

3.1 Production Stages

To make the analysis operational, this paper uses a task-chain framework that breaks 2D animation work into three stages:

3.1.1 Pre-production: storyboards, concept art, character design exploration, look development, background design studies, animatics.

3.1.2 Production: key animation (or key poses), in-betweening, cleanup/line work, coloring, background painting, layout, shot assembly.

3.1.3 Post-production and marketing: compositing, effects polish, color/lighting adjustments, deliverables, trailers, posters, social-media variants.

3.2 Benefit–Risk Lens

Within each stage, tasks are evaluated using a benefit–risk lens:

3.2.1 Benefit: speed, cost reduction, exploration breadth.

3.2.2 Risk: quality instability (especially cross-shot coherence), loss of artistic intent, and legal exposure (copyrightability, training-data uncertainty, or contractual compliance).

This method is consistent with the reality that adoption decisions are not purely aesthetic; they are managerial and legal. It also connects directly to labor outcomes: tasks with high modularity and easy evaluation tend to be most automatable, while tasks requiring holistic judgment and consistent authorship signals tend to remain human-led.

4. Findings: Where AIGC Substitutes and Where It Augments

4.1 Pre-production: Rapid Divergence, Faster Convergence

Pre-production is where AIGC most immediately increases output. Storyboard thumbnails, concept explorations, prop ideation, and environment mood studies can be generated in large volumes, enabling a “diverge then converge” workflow: produce many candidates quickly, then curate and refine. The main economic impact is that “quantity of options” becomes less scarce, while “quality of decisions” becomes more valuable.

This shift can pressure entry-level concept labor when the job is framed as “produce many variations.” If a tool can produce dozens of plausible thumbnails, the market value of raw variation decreases. Yet the tool does not remove the need for strong narrative visualization. A storyboard is not merely a sequence of images; it is camera logic, staging, and emotional pacing. AIGC outputs still require an experienced eye to ensure readability, continuity, and intent.

In practice, AIGC pushes pre-production professionals toward a hybrid role: not only drawing, but also specifying constraints and evaluation criteria. Those who can translate a director’s intent into a controllable prompting and reference system-and who can reliably converge on a coherent style-become more valuable than those who simply generate large volumes of sketches.

4.2 Production: Modular Tasks Are Most Exposed

In the production stage, the highest exposure lies in tasks that are repetitive, modular, and locally verifiable: certain forms of cleanup/line work, flat color fills and variations, or background roughing and texture experiments. These tasks historically function as apprenticeship entry points, so their compression matters for labor dynamics. If studios can achieve acceptable results with fewer hours of manual execution, the number of paid junior positions can shrink, and wage pressure can increase.

However, the most artistically central tasks remain hard to automate reliably: performance animation (acting), timing, exaggeration, weight and squash-stretch decisions, and the subtle choices that make a character feel alive. Even if AI can generate motion, the director’s intent and the scene’s emotional truth still require judgment. This aligns with the broader pattern in generative video research: creating a plausible sequence is not the same as directing an expressive performance [6].

AIGC therefore tends to augment senior animation roles: it can assist with exploration, pose candidates, or background support, but it does not eliminate the need for high-level control and coherence. Instead, it changes what "control" means: artists increasingly become supervisors of a mixed human–machine pipeline.

4.3 Post-production and Marketing: Massive Variant Generation, Higher Provenance Risk

Marketing deliverables-posters, social-media cuts, teaser graphics-are inherently multi-variant. AIGC can significantly reduce the cost of producing platform-specific versions. But this is also where provenance risk is concentrated: marketing is fast, outsourced, and often uses mixed-source assets. If untraceable generative elements slip into public-facing materials, the brand and distribution partners face reputational and legal risk.

This makes governance a production requirement. A studio that wants to adopt AIGC at scale needs clear policies on what can be generated, how it must be documented, and how human authorship is preserved or recorded.

5. Findings: Why Labor Polarization Happens (And Why Entry Paths Are at Risk)

A recurring concern in creative labor discussions is that AIGC can narrow entry-level pathways: if junior tasks are automated, how do new artists learn and earn? Industry labor discussions often treat generative AI as a critical protection issue, emphasizing worker impacts and the importance of safeguarding animation jobs and conditions [3]. Even without adopting specific numerical predictions, the mechanism is straightforward:

5.1 Entry-level roles often consist of standardized tasks that teach discipline and pipeline norms.

5.2 Automation targets standardized tasks first.

5.3 Studios then demand fewer juniors and more people who can supervise mixed workflows.

5.4 Result: a "barbell" labor market: fewer entry roles, higher value for supervisors/lead artists, and pressure on mid-level execution roles.

This is not inevitable, but it is a plausible default trajectory if adoption is driven purely by cost minimization. The alternative is a deliberate redesign of apprenticeship: shifting junior roles from pure execution toward QA, consistency checking, pipeline support, and documented refinement. In other words, the entry path can survive, but it must change.

6. Findings: What Remains "Durable" Competitive Advantage

The practical question for 2D professionals is: what remains scarce when generation becomes cheap? The answer is a set of intertwined capabilities:

6.1 Narrative judgment and staging: translating story intent into readable shots and sequences.

6.2 Performance and timing: directing motion and emotion over time.

6.3 Cross-shot consistency: keeping characters on-model, preserving line language, maintaining lighting logic and world rules.

6.4 Workflow design: turning tools into reliable pipelines with predictable iteration loops.

6.5 Provenance and compliance: ensuring outputs are legally and contractually usable.

The first three are classic artistic skills; the last two expand the professional skill bundle. In an AIGC era, "being good" includes being able to document decisions, version assets, and justify authorship control. This connects directly to copyrightability: copyright protection depends on human authorship; questions arise when AI-generated material lacks sufficient human creative contribution [2]. For professionals, this means that creative control must be exercised, and often documented, not only for artistic reasons but for rights and commercialization.

7. Opportunity Pathways: New Roles and Upgraded Skill Bundles

7.1 Workflow Designer / Human–AI Pipeline Engineer

This role designs controllable iteration: reference packages, constraint prompts, review checkpoints, and a repeatable "generate → curate → refine" protocol. The goal is not novelty but stability. A designer who can reduce rework and preserve style consistency becomes strategically valuable.

7.2 Style Consistency Supervisor

2D productions live and die by on-model consistency. AIGC can increase inconsistency by introducing subtle drift-eye spacing, line weight, costume details. A style consistency supervisor sets rules, creates reference boards, and audits shots systematically.

7.3 AI Finishing / "Make It Usable" Specialist

Even when AI outputs are attractive, they often contain artifacts: unclear edges, inconsistent details, or compositing problems. The finisher focuses on converting raw AI output into production-usable assets-matching line style, correcting anatomy, and integrating layers cleanly.

7.4 Data & Rights Coordinator (Provenance Lead)

As training-data controversies and policy scrutiny rise, studios need someone to track sources, permissions, and tool policies. The Copyright Office's work on training issues reflects ongoing uncertainty and the importance of careful governance [9]. In practical terms, a rights coordinator maintains an asset provenance log, tool approval list, and delivery documentation to satisfy clients and distributors.

7.5 Previz / Animatic Acceleration Lead

Generative tools can accelerate early motion exploration and animatic drafts, but those drafts still require editorial judgment. This role uses AIGC for exploration while ensuring shots remain readable and emotionally coherent.

These pathways share a theme: they reward professionals who can direct and verify outputs rather than merely produce them manually.

8. Governance and Risk: Why "Policy" Becomes Part of the Art Pipeline

8.1 Copyrightability and Human Authorship Control

Commercial animation is an IP business. If a studio cannot confidently claim rights, licensing and distribution become fragile. The Copyright Office's guidance underscores the relevance of human creative contribution and control [3]. This does not mean AIGC is unusable; it means studios must be able to demonstrate where human authorship resides-through selection, arrangement, editing, and meaningful creative revisions.

8.2 Training Data Uncertainty and the Business Reality of Risk

Debates over training practices are not academic for studios-they shape platform policies, distributor requirements, and client contracts. The Copyright Office's analysis of generative AI training reflects persistent uncertainty and why careful governance is necessary [9]. Meanwhile, legal outcomes in AI-related copyright disputes-especially around what qualifies as permissible use and what counts as protectable content-can directly influence how studios assess risk in adopting AIGC tools [10]. Industry organizations and guild guidance similarly treat AI as a labor and rights issue requiring safeguard [3, 4].

8.3 Practical Guardrails (Studio-Ready)

A workable governance framework typically includes:

8.3.1 Provenance logs: track sources, tool outputs, and major edits.

8.3.2 Approved tool list: specify which models/tools are permitted and under what settings.

8.3.3 Red-line rules: prohibit certain uses (e.g., generating in the style of living artists for commercial work, if a studio chooses).

8.3.4 Human-in-the-loop checkpoints: require named reviewers and sign-offs for key shots.

8.3.5 Documentation for delivery: provide clients/distributors with a compliance summary.

In practice, many studios look to vendor policies as part of risk control. For example, Adobe positions Firefly around “commercially safe” usage claims and governance framing, which becomes relevant when studios must justify tool selection[11]. Related contributor and platform policies (e.g., stock-asset participation rules) can also affect how studios manage licensing and downstream distribution [12].

These guardrails shift compliance from “legal paperwork at the end” to “pipeline discipline throughout.”

9. Discussion: AIGC as a Value Reallocation Engine

AIGC does not simply reduce the need for artists; it reshapes what “artist labor” contains. The clearest pattern is that tasks with low ambiguity and high repeatability are most exposed, while tasks requiring holistic judgment remain human-led. This creates pressure on entry-level roles and increases the premium on supervisory and pipeline roles.

From a strategic perspective, AIGC rewards studios and professionals who can achieve three things simultaneously:

9.1 Speed: iterate rapidly in pre-production and deliver variants efficiently.

9.2 Control: maintain style consistency and narrative intent across sequences.

9.3 Defensibility: keep outputs legally and contractually safe, including documenting human authorship and provenance [2, 9]

Therefore, the professional competitive advantage is less about adopting the newest model and more about building a stable, auditable workflow-one that makes creativity repeatable without making it generic.

10. Conclusion

AIGC is transforming 2D animation less by “replacing artists” and more by rearranging the production chain. In pre-production, it expands exploration and compresses iteration cycles; in production, it automates or accelerates certain modular tasks while increasing the value of performance, timing, and consistency control; in post-production and marketing, it multiplies output variants while elevating provenance and compliance risk. These changes can polarize the labor market by shrinking standardized entry-level work and increasing demand for hybrid roles that combine creative direction, pipeline design, quality assurance, and rights governance [3].

For 2D professionals, the most durable path is to evolve from pure execution into control-oriented expertise: directing tools, enforcing style rules, and documenting human authorship. For studios, the key is to treat governance as an integral part of production rather than an afterthought. Ultimately, AIGC acts as a value reallocation engine: it reduces the scarcity of generation and increases the scarcity of judgment, consistency, and defensible authorship [2, 9].

References

[1] United States Copyright Office. Copyright and Artificial Intelligence. Available from: https://www.copyright.gov/ai/ (accessed 27 December 2025).

[2] United States Copyright Office. Copyright and Artificial Intelligence: Part 2-Copyrightability. Available from: https://www.copyright.gov/ai/Copyright-and-Artificial-Intelligence-Part-2-Copyrightability-Report.pdf (accessed 27 December 2025).

[3] The Animation Guild. Critical Crossroads: The Impact of Generative AI and the Importance of Protecting Animation Workers. Available from: https://animationguild.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/09/2024-TAG-GenAI-Report.pdf (accessed 27 December 2025).
[4] Writers Guild of America West. Artificial Intelligence. Available from: https://www.wga.org/contracts/know-your-rights/artificial-intelligence. (accessed 27 December 2025).
[5] Rombach, R., Blattmann, A., Lorenz, D., Esser, P. and Ommer, B. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, New Orleans, Louisiana, 2022; pp. 10684-10695, https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2022/html/Rombach_High-Resolution_Image_Synthesis_With_Latent_Diffusion_Models_CVPR_2022_paper.
[6] Guo, Y., Yang, C., Rao, A., Liang, Z., Wang, Y., Qiao, Y., Agrawala, M., Lin, D. and Dai, B. Animatediff: Animate your personalized text-to-image diffusion models without specific tuning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.04725. 2023. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.04725.
[7] Runway. Gen-2: Generate Novel Videos with Text, Images or Video Clips. Available from: https://runwayml.com/research/gen-2 (accessed 27 December 2025).
[8] Miao, F. C. and Holmes, W. Guidance for Generative AI in Education and Research. Available from: https://cdn.table.media/assets/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/386693eng.pdf. (accessed 27 December 2025).
[9] United States Copyright Office. Copyright and Artificial Intelligence: Part 3-Generative AI Training (Pre-Publication Version). Available from: https://www.copyright.gov/ai/Copyright-and-Artificial-Intelligence-Part-3-Generative-AI-Training-Report-Pre-Publication-Version.pdf (accessed 27 December 2025).
[10] Brittain, B. Thomson Reuters wins AI copyright 'fair use' ruling against one-time competitor. Available from: https://www.reuters.com/legal/thomson-reuters-wins-ai-copyright-fair-use-ruling-against-one-time-competitor-2025-02-11/ (accessed 27 December 2025).
[11] Adobe. Approach to Generative AI with Adobe Firefly. Available from: https://www.adobe.com/ai/overview/firefly/gen-ai-approach.html (accessed 27 December 2025).
[12] Adobe. Firefly FAQ for Adobe Stock Contributors. Available from: https://helpx.adobe.com/stock/contributor/help/firefly-faq-for-adobe-stock-contributors.html. (accessed 27 December 2025).

Funding
This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment

This paper is an output ofthe'science project.