Vol. 16 (2026): Proceedings of the 2026 International Conference on AI and Technological Innovation Applications (AITIA 2026)
E-ISSN: 3105-0522 P-ISSN: 3105-0514
DOI: https://doi.org/10.70267/aitia.2026435440

The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on the Generative Design and Cross-Domain Performance of Athletic Footwear

Zheyuan Liu*

International School, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing, China

**Corresponding author: Zheyuan Liu.*

Abstract

The athletic footwear industry is shifting from conventional linear R&D pipelines to advanced digital workflows driven by artificial intelligence (AI). This paper evaluates how AI-driven tools optimize established manufacturing paradigms, focusing on the systemic transition within sports shoe development rather than isolated technical breakthroughs. However, conventional pipelines face severe bottlenecks, including low concept iteration efficiency, prolonged finite element simulation latencies, and high tooling costs that impede mass customization. To address these challenges, this study analyzes a triple-transformation methodology. First, Latent Diffusion Models and Kansei Engineering are applied to quantify consumer perception and accelerate conceptual design. Second, machine learning surrogate models replace time-consuming simulations for rapid biomechanical and topological optimization. Third, computer vision frameworks are integrated with additive manufacturing to bypass physical molds and streamline personalized production pipelines. The evaluation demonstrates that the integration of predictive algorithms and 3D printing shortens development cycles while achieving precise gait customization. In conclusion, human-machine collaboration will emerge as the dominant paradigm in footwear engineering, successfully balancing industrial economies of scale with absolute product personalization.

Keywords

sportswear footwear, human-machine collaboration, workflow optimization, manufacturing technologies

1. Introduction

From the birth of sports shoes to now, their evolution has long been constrained by the development of the manufacturing industry and the advancement of materials science. From the invention of vulcanized rubber to the introduction of ethylene-vinyl acetate (EVA) foam materials, each era has strived to address the fundamental challenge of balancing cushioning and stability. However, in the traditional sports shoe design and manufacturing industry, designers usually need to first come up with a concept, and then manually convert it into 2D technical specifications. These specifications are then used to produce a physical 3D last. This is the mechanical mold used to shape the shoe. This iteration mode is obviously limited by the high-cost steel molds, and time-consuming manual experiments. This is also why the development cycle of the traditional sports shoe industry can never be accelerated. Because any structural alteration requires the production of new molds, thus creating significant cost barriers in terms of capital and time in the design phase.

Today, however, high-performance artificial intelligence is redefining these boundaries. Evolving beyond auxiliary tools, AI has gradually become a core infrastructure for industrial design [1]. By automatically generating complex geometric shapes, and predicting mechanical responses based on historical data, AI has gradually realized a decentralized and efficient design workflow, This article will evaluate from three key dimensions, the technological impact that AI tools have brought to the sneaker design and manufacturing industry: Generative conceptual design; machine learning-based biomechanical performance optimization; and customized footwear manufacturing built upon digital scanning and 3D printing technologies [2]. The significance of this study lies in using AI tools to conduct systematic analysis, explore how to use AI tools to optimize the existing R&D process of sports shoes, and under the current product model, how to effectively use AI to reduce operational costs, and lower the design threshold for sports shoes. And accelerate product iteration. Eventually, our goal is to provide a clear and structured framework for footwear manufacturers and sports shoe design teams, to help them transition more efficiently into an AI-assisted workflow.

2. Generative Design and Latent Diffusion Models

The concept of Generative AI has shown impressive abilities in conceptual design, with significant improvement in the age-old bottlenecks in conventional workflows, which largely depend on experience of individual designers, have limited creative scope, and are inefficient in iterative processes. Conventional design discussions based on brainstorming frequently cannot be scaled because of the cognitive constraints of a design team. Industry practice today, however, is to use Latent Diffusion Models (LDM) and Transformer-based models to achieve high-fidelity text-to-image synthesis. Such deep learning systems have control over cross-modal mapping which involves converting high dimensional textual representations to specific visual and geometric symbols. The process of inserting those specialized descriptors or structural restrictions allows the designers to skip time-consuming manual sketching and provide a large library of possible design variations in seconds [8]. The localized computational pipeline transforms the emphasis of early-stage R&D to no longer focus on physical drawings replication but rather on rapid variance assessment. This fast generation process explicitly releases creative constraints, enabling the swift discovery of atypical silhouettes and material textures, reducing the length of the first conceptual cycle by more than 50% [1].

One of the major developments at this point is the implementation of Kansei Engineering which is a technique which addresses in a systematic manner the subjectivity of the conventional design by measuring the emotional responses of humans and mapping them into specific design characteristics [2]. In actual use, this method creates a mathematical matrix that connects the subjective language of consumers (e.g., geometric aggressiveness or structural fluidity) with the objective physical parameters (e.g., profile curvature and density layout). With the help of AI trained on consumer feedback-related datasets and particular topological structures, designers are able to direct the targeted optimization of AI tools to reach certain design objectives, thus eliminating guesswork of individual intuition with data-driven objectivity. As a result, the design interface is no longer a non-structured ideation process but an active optimization loop.

In order to provide some context to how these algorithmic strengths have been applied to revolutionizing practical procedures, one of the popular examples of an industrial application is the Nike A.I.R. project. This workflow innovation makes use of generative algorithms to directly turn qualitative feedback by elite athletes into optimal structural parameters. In this paradigm, athlete narratives and performance limits are processed through natural language interfaces to create boundary conditions of generative solvers. Departing the traditional paradigm of sports shoe design, the project eliminates still hand-drawn outlines and confidently incorporates artificial intelligence and 3D printing technology. Rather than depending on the manual calculation of a designer, the AI automatically optimizes the ratio of surface area to volume and provides structural support to areas prone to high lateral stresses without increasing the mass of the material. This example demonstrates the impact of new AI techniques on the research paradigm and gives design teams powers that are orders of magnitude greater than those of traditional, slow-observing design teams. The project also highlights the powerful nature of AI-based generative design using parametric algorithms. These algorithms produce new design concepts based on the inputs provided, and essentially change the conventional order of human-machine collaboration as it follows a linear transactional process, similar to a baton pass in a relay race. This synergy is setting AI as a force multiplier of designers, changing their position as manual drafters to strategic planners creating and refining algorithm-produced design solutions.

3. Machine Learning and Biomechanical Optimization

Although the aesthetic design sets the position in the market, biomechanics is the fundamental aspect of footwear engineering, and its main aim is to control the ground reaction forces (GRF) to avoid injury and improve performance. Historically, the validation of these mechanical response was based on Finite Element Analysis (FEA). Nevertheless, this traditional model has serious constraints: computation of only one mesh setup using varying loading conditions is prohibitively expensive, typically taking hours per iteration, limiting the ability to explore more complex geometries. Slow simulation and limited optimizations of structure are addressed by machine learning (ML) by acting as a high-speed computational surrogate. With machine learning, engineers can predict mechanical outcomes like von Mises stress distribution nearly instantly by training neural networks on past FEA simulations and plantar pressure data [3]. The study of regression models and random forests indicates that ML is able to make accurate estimates of the energy consumption during compression of a wide range of midsole geometries [4]. This makes possible the use of advanced topological optimization to focus only on the high-stress areas of materials to create complex lattice designs (e.g. gyroid and diamond lattices). Such variable density characteristics exceed the manufacturing and design capabilities of conventional foam injection molding [4].

Apart from speeding up design cycles and materials optimization, ML also provides a significant benefit over conventional engineering by enabling high precision, mass customisation of gaits. Prototype footwear using the PUMA Fast-R 3 and other data driven footwear uses machine learning augmented structures to get maximum energy return. Clinical gait analysis shows that athletes in these algorithmically designed shoes have a 3 per cent decrease in oxygen consumption [5]. This practical achievement highlights the fact that AI is uniquely able to directly relate the mechanical stiffness of footwear to the unique foot strike patterns and kinematic demands of an individual, which was not possible at all within the traditional engineering paradigm [6].

4. 3D Foot Scanning and Mass Customization

In the case of sports shoes, shoe sizing is one of the most important topics. The industry is moving away from the strict standardization of shoe sizes in favor of more flexible mass customization. Conventional sizing methods have traditionally used linear grading tables, which presuppose that there is a straightforward relationship between both the length of the foot and its width but fail to consider non-linear differences among individuals in total foot volume as well as dorsal height and complicated plantar morphology.

The use of artificial intelligence combined with computer vision techniques presents a realistic answer to this measurement bottleneck, eliminating the constraints of manual measurements and fixed mechanical scanning. Rather than using special laboratory grade laser array systems, current computer vision systems make use of deep Convolutional Neural Network (CNNs) and neural radiance fields (NeRF) to learn multi-view spatial features based on ordinary 2D images taken by regular smartphones [7]. One main practical advantage of this method is that it is resistant to environmental noise including variable ambient light, background clutter and lack of consistent human placement that often contaminates conventional image-based photogrammetry. These algorithms localize semantic landmarks to reconstruct high-fidelity, watertight 3D foot meshes with submillimeter fidelity through pixel-to-mesh regression and deep shape priors trained on a variety of anthropometric datasets. The accuracy rate of this approach is more than 92.5 per cent in consumer-grade unstable environments [7] and thus the first stage of the shoe manufacturing process is brought straight into the sphere of the individual consumer.

After this digital mesh is created, AI parametric engines can communicate with Computer-Aided Design (CAD) programs to carry out further geometric transformations automatically [8]. Custom made traditional lasts are an art form that is inherently subjective and susceptible to human error and time delays in production processes. Conversely, parametric algorithms translate the specific topological vectors of the digital foot model to perform global deformations on the virtual last- e.g., by changing the local curves of the arch height, heel cup depth, and forefoot width- in order to achieve an optimal, tailored fit [8]. It is this digital rendering which breaks the repetitive manual drafting cycles which were historically limiting the scalability of personalized shoe engineering [9].

In order to convert these personalized digital outputs into physical products without loss of industrial throughput, the combination of AI and 3D printing (additive manufacturing) can be used as a practical pipeline to mass customize. In a conventional high-volume production line personalized structures of shoes are produced with a specific, expensive injection mold made of steel, which makes low-volume custom production economically unviable. Algorithm-driven design and 3D printing overcome this economic obstacle. AI operates in the production pipeline as an optimizer of additive manufacturing systems; it computes optimal toolpaths, forecasts localized material shrinkage, and performs multi-part nesting algorithms to achieve the highest possible packing density in the fabrication chamber [9].

Other industrial applications, including the Nike A.I.R. project, prove that this combination of workflows is possible, utilizing rapid additive manufacturing systems such as Selective Laser Sintering (SLS) to produce functional midsoles with high performance thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU). Here, designers are given the power to edit parametric digital designs by real-time volumetric data of consumers which allows them to create new physical versions in 24 hours. It reduces the gap between wear experience of athletes and technical changes made by the engineers. Even more significantly, through the substitution of inflexible tooling with flexible, code-controlled 3D printing nozzles, it is now possible to produce individually personalized shoe soles, each to suit the biomechanical template of a different user, on a single assembly line without stopping to change tools. This technological combination solves a long-lasting industrial trade-off, providing both economic gains of scale and personalization of products [9].

5. Challenges: Data Integrity and Scalability

In spite of its great potential, even now, there are significant hurdles on the way to the mass application of AI in the footwear industry in regard to the generalization of data and throughput in manufacturing. To alleviate these bottlenecks, it is necessary to critically analyze existing constraints as well as specific mitigation measures.

5.1 Multi-Dimensional Data Bias and Generalization Mitigation

The quality and security of every predictive machine learning model depend on the variety of its training data. At present, available biomechanical data sets are extremely multi-dimensionally biased. In addition to the fact that these datasets have a disproportionately large number of professional male athletes, they often also have significant gaps in terms of pathological foot conditions, age variations, as well as geographical or ethnographical demographics.

Pathological Omissions: No optimization of standard algorithms is done with respect to pathological anomalies like diabetic foot structure or severe pes planus. The predicted midsole stiffness will increase localized plantar pressures in the case where the model has been trained on healthy feet only [3].

Age and Geographic Variations: Existing models usually neglect age-related variations like the different bone mineral density of growing children or those aged 65 years and above. In addition, they do not consider geographical and ethnicity factors such as the variation in morphology between the feet of Western and Asian people. When using the same model applied to all these groups, it leads to poor or dangerous geometry of footwear.

Mitigation and Future Research: In order to address these algorithmic bias problems, it will require future research to focus on inclusive data synthesis and collaborative structures that preserve privacy. One workable solution would be to introduce Federated Learning (FL). Such a non-centralized method can enable a number of clinical facilities and research organizations around the world to jointly train a common structural model without centralizing sensitive patient anthropometric information. Also, Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) could be used to artificially create minority-group biomechanical examples, artificially balancing the training distribution. There is a high level of need to create open-source, multi-ethnic, and cross-age anthropometric repositories in order to ethically develop footwear AI.

5.2 Mass Production Bottlenecks and Hybrid Manufacturing Solutions

In terms of manufacturing, a critical architectural constraint is that additive manufacturing has a significantly lower throughput compared to traditional production lines. Although adaptable 3D-printed lattice structures provide an accurate local cushioning, existing printing rates of technologies such as Selective Laser Sintering (SLS) and Digital Light Synthesis (DLS) are not able to keep up with the mass-produced output of traditional ethylene-vinyl acetate (EVA) foam injection molding lines.

The Constraint of Additive Manufacturing Constraint: The failure of the 3D printer to displace the traditional assembly line is an outcome of the intrinsic thermodynamic and mechanical limitations. SLS requires a time-consuming process of layer-by-layer powder deposition and extended thermal cooling times to avoid structure warping, whereas EVA injection molding uses chemical foaming agents, which expand and cure quickly inside rigid steel cavities, producing high-velocity replication cycles in seconds.

Mitigation and Future Perspectives: In order to overcome this scalability issue, the industry needs to move towards automated cooperative printing clusters and hybrid manufacturing paradigms. Future factory arrangements ought to implement synchronized lines of continuous liquid interface production (CLIP) printers that are controlled by centralized AI dispatch programmes to maximize bed layouts and secondary post-processing operations. At the same time, a realistic short to medium term approach is to use a hybrid manufacturing pipeline: use high speed conventional EVA compression molding to form the standardized external edges of the midsole, but use localized 3D printed lattice structures only on the critical and biomechanically sensitive areas under the arch and heel. The trade-off here is between local customization and industrial scale cost effectiveness.

6. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence has brought about a significant technological and structural revolution in the field of sports footwear. Instead of being a peripheral tool, AI is transforming the industry in three ways: creative conceptualization, biomechanical performance and custom manufacturing. Generative algorithms free design teams of the constraints of manual sketching allowing them to explore unusual geometries at an accelerated pace in creative design. The use of machine learning-based surrogate models in biomechanical engineering eliminates the computational delays of conventional simulations speeding up sophisticated topological optimization and local stress control. The combination of computer vision with additive manufacturing in manufacturing creates an automatic customization infrastructure that scales to meet the challenge of the historical trade-offs between personalized products and economies of scale.

Although issues of data diversity limitation and additive throughput restrictions are still serious problems of an industrial nature, they outline specific technical goals of the future optimization process instead of putting a halt to development. Finally, it can be concluded that the development path shows that the human-machine collaboration will be the mainstream paradigm of footwear engineering. The way forward of the industry is not the full automation of the design, but a systematic synergy in which human artistic sensibility and quality strategy take precedence over high-speed algorithm accuracy. Through this collaborative synthesis, the modern designer has transformed his function as simply a manual draftsperson into a systems curator, heralding an extremely responsive age of high-performance and personified industrial design.

References

[1] P. Minaoglou et al., “Integrating Artificial Intelligence into the Shoe Design Process,” MDPI Engineering Proceedings, 2024.

[2] M. Yu and J. Wu, “Research on Intelligent Design of Sports Shoe Modeling Based on Kansei Engineering,” Design, 2023.

[3] Z. Lu et al., “Personalised footwear design method based on machine learning and finite element analysis,” Footwear Science, 2025.

[4] Mohammadi, M. M., & Nourani, A. (2025). Machine learning-based prediction of compressive energy absorption in shoe soles with different features. Scientific Reports, 15(1), 37059.

[5] Kuzmeski, J., Bertschy, M., Healey, L., Barrons, Z., & Hoogkamer, W. (2026). Data driven shoe design improves running economy beyond state-of-the-art Advanced Footwear Technology running shoes. Journal of Sport and Health Science, 101133.

[6] S. Li et al., “Plantar pressure and gait analysis in patients after anterior cruciate ligament injury and reconstruction,” Chinese Journal of Tissue Engineering Research, 2023.

[7] Aparna, S., Athulya, L., Nandana, S., Sreelekshmi, K. R., Sabeena, K., & Chinchu, M. P. (2025). A Comprehensive Review of Digital Foot Measurement and Virtual Footwear Fitting Technologies: From Computer Vision to AI-Driven Solutions.

[8] “Sculpting the Perfect Shoe: A Deep Dive into AI-Driven Footwear Design and Production,” Int. J. of Formative, Managerial and Research, vol. 5, 2023.

[9] S. Mallakpour, Z. Radfar, and C. M. Hussain, “Advanced application of additive manufacturing in the footwear industry: from customized insoles to fully 3D-printed shoes,” in Medical Additive Manufacturing, Elsevier, 2024, pp. 153-178.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment

This paper is an output of the science project.