Vol. 14 (2026): Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Modern Engineering and Environmental Sustainability (IC-AIMEES 2026)
E-ISSN: 3105-0522 P-ISSN：3105-0514
DOI: https://doi.org/10.70267/ ic-aimees.2026027883

Applications of Machine Learning in Additive Manufacturing

Zicheng Qu

Electronic Information Engineering, Shenyang University of Technology, Shenyang 110870, China

**Corresponding author: Zicheng Qu*

Abstract

The research aims to solve common challenges in additive manufacturing processes, including strong multi-parameter coupling, difficulty in suppressing defects, and unstable performance, while overcoming the limitations of traditional empirical trial-and-error and physics-based modeling approaches and advancing additive manufacturing toward intelligent, automated, and closed-loop upgrades. The methodology centers on machine learning's data-driven paradigm, integrating various algorithms such as neural networks, random forests, and support vector machines. From the full life cycle of additive manufacturing, the study systematically explores technical pathways and application modes in process monitoring, defect control, parameter optimization, performance prediction, structural design, and material development. Results show that machine learning can effectively construct the "process–structure–performance–defect" mapping relationship in additive manufacturing. In online monitoring, defect identification accuracy exceeds 95%; process development cycles can be shortened from weeks to days, and new material R&D cycles from months to weeks. High-precision prediction of component mechanical properties is also achieved, with some models attaining R^2 values above 0.9. The conclusion is that machine learning provides a full-process data-driven optimization solution for additive manufacturing and effectively addresses many pain points of traditional methods. Current applications in this field still face challenges such as insufficient data quality and quantity and poor model interpretability. Future efforts should focus on physics-informed machine learning, digital-twin closed-loop control, and other directions, while advancing standardization, to promote the engineering implementation and scaled production of intelligent additive manufacturing and provide support for the development of high-end equipment, biomedicine, and other fields.

Keywords

machine learning, additive manufacturing, process optimization, defect detection

1. Introduction

Additive manufacturing (AM), based on the "layer-by-layer manufacturing and stacking" principle, enables rapid integrated forming of complex structures, significantly shortening R&D cycles and reducing production costs. With the maturation of printing systems for metals, ceramics, composites, and other materials, additive manufacturing is transitioning from prototype parts to batch production of functional components. However, typical processes such as laser powder bed fusion, wire arc additive manufacturing (WAAM), and fused deposition modeling (FDM) all face common challenges including strong multi-parameter coupling, complex thermal histories, difficulty in suppressing defects, and unstable mechanical properties. Traditional methods relying on empirical trial-and-error and physics-based modeling struggle to capture nonlinear dynamic processes, resulting in high R&D costs, long cycles, and poor consistency. Machine learning (ML), with its data-driven core, requires no explicit construction of complex physical equations and can automatically learn the "process–structure–performance–defect" mapping from experimental, simulation, and sensor data to achieve prediction, classification, and optimization. In recent years, algorithms such as neural networks, random forests, support vector machines, and reinforcement learning have been widely implemented in additive manufacturing, driving its upgrade toward intelligence, automation, and closed-loop operation [1]. This paper systematically reviews the application scenarios, technical pathways, typical achievements, and challenges of machine learning across the full life cycle of additive manufacturing, identifies future research priorities, and promotes the engineering implementation of intelligent additive manufacturing technologies.

2. Fundamentals of Additive Manufacturing and Machine Learning

2.1 Characteristics and Bottlenecks of Additive Manufacturing Technology

According to forming principles, mainstream additive manufacturing technologies are categorized into powder bed fusion (PBF), directed energy deposition (DED), material extrusion (MEX), vat photopolymerization (SLA/DLP), binder jetting (BJ), etc. Their common characteristics include rapid melting/solidification of materials, non-equilibrium microstructure evolution, and layer-by-layer accumulation of residual stresses, which readily produce defects such as porosity, cracks, balling, warping, and dimensional deviations.

Core bottlenecks:

- Narrow process parameter windows, with mutual constraints among laser power, scanning speed, layer thickness, hatch spacing, etc.;
- Limited online sensing capabilities, making real-time identification of melt pools, defects, and stress evolution difficult;
- Unclear structure–process–performance correlation mechanisms and low prediction accuracy;
- High trial-and-error costs and long development cycles for new materials and structures.

2.2 Classification of Machine Learning Algorithms and Applicable Scenarios

Regression: neural networks (ANN/CNN/LSTM), random forests (RF), support vector regression (SVR)—used for performance prediction, dimensional error prediction, and melt pool size prediction [2].

Classification: SVM, K-nearest neighbors (KNN), CNN, YOLO—used for defect identification, condition monitoring, and anomaly diagnosis [4].

Optimization: genetic algorithms (GA), particle swarm optimization (PSO), reinforcement learning (RL)—used for parameter optimization, path planning, and topology optimization [2].

Unsupervised learning: clustering, autoencoders (AE), generative adversarial networks (GAN)—used for data dimensionality reduction, anomaly detection, and structure generation [2].

Algorithm selection depends on data type (tabular, image, time-series), task objectives, and real-time requirements.

3. Main Applications of Machine Learning in Additive Manufacturing

3.1 Online Process Monitoring and Anomaly Diagnosis in Additive Manufacturing

Online monitoring is a critical step in ensuring forming stability in additive manufacturing. Traditional monitoring methods mostly rely on threshold-based judgment and are easily affected by environmental noise and equipment fluctuations, resulting in high false-alarm and missed-alarm rates. Machine learning can fuse multi-source sensor data—including visual images, infrared thermography, acoustic emission, vibration, and current/voltage—to achieve high-precision, high-robustness monitoring of the printing process [4].

CNN-based visual inspection models can process melt pool images and interlayer surface morphology in real time, accurately identifying anomalies such as melt pool size deviation, spatter, balling, and warping.

Infrared thermal imaging data combined with LSTM time-series models can capture dynamic temperature field evolution and predict overheating, over-burning, and stress concentration zones. Acoustic emission and vibration signals, after feature extraction, are fed into classification models to provide early warning at the initial stage of defect initiation. Studies show that machine learning monitoring systems based on multi-sensor data fusion achieve defect identification accuracy above 95%, meeting the needs of real-time industrial diagnosis [4].

3.2 Defect Detection, Prediction, and Suppression

Defects are a key factor restricting the application of additive-manufactured components. Common defects include gas pores, lack of fusion, cracks, inclusions, and deformation. Machine learning enables defect control at both offline detection and online prediction levels. In offline detection, CNN, YOLO, and other models process CT scan images, metallographic images, and optical microscope images to automatically perform defect segmentation, classification, and size statistics, greatly improving detection efficiency [4]. In online prediction, models built from process parameters, temperature signals, and melt pool features can forecast defect occurrence probabilities during printing, enabling proactive intervention [2]. Meanwhile, machine learning establishes mapping relationships between defects and process parameters, allowing reverse optimization to suppress defects. For example, in L-PBF processes, combining random forests with particle swarm optimization can quickly identify defect-free process windows for keyhole porosity and lack-of-fusion defects, increasing component density to above 99.5% . The emergence of physics-informed neural networks (PINN) further integrates heat transfer and fluid dynamics equations into the model, achieving high-precision defect prediction under small-sample conditions and effectively solving data scarcity issues [4].

3.3 Process Parameter Optimization and Process Window Determination

Process parameter optimization is the core step in improving additive manufacturing quality. Traditional trial-and-error methods require numerous repeated experiments, incurring high costs and low efficiency. Machine learning constructs predictive models between process parameters and quality indicators (density, surface roughness, dimensional accuracy, mechanical properties) and combines intelligent optimization algorithms for global search, rapidly obtaining optimal parameter combinations [2]. Successful applications have been achieved in metal L-PBF, WAAM, and polymer FDM processes. For instance, using laser power, scanning speed, and powder feed rate as inputs and forming accuracy and tensile strength as outputs, an XGBoost predictive model combined with genetic algorithms for multi-objective optimization can increase printing efficiency by more than 30% while maintaining strength [2]. Compared with traditional methods, machine learning shortens process development cycles from weeks to days and substantially reduces R&D costs.

3.4 Microstructure and Mechanical Property Prediction

The mechanical properties of additive-manufactured components are directly determined by microstructure, including grain size, phase composition, orientation, density, and residual stress. Because microstructure evolution is highly complex and difficult to describe accurately with traditional physical models, machine learning has become an effective tool for cross-scale correlation prediction [4]. By collecting process parameters, thermal history data, melt pool features, microstructure, and mechanical property data, multi-level predictive models from parameters to microstructure and from microstructure to performance can be built. Machine learning accurately predicts key indicators such as tensile strength, yield strength, elongation, hardness, and fatigue life, with some models achieving R^2 values above 0.9 . The models also support inverse derivation—back-calculating optimal process parameters and ideal microstructures from target performance—providing a basis for performance-customized additive manufacturing.

3.5 Intelligent Structural Design and New Material Development

Design for additive manufacturing emphasizes printability, lightweighting, and high performance; machine learning significantly improves design efficiency. Generative adversarial networks (GAN), autoencoders, and other models can rapidly generate lattice structures, biomimetic porous structures, and complex flow-channel structures without manual iteration. Deep learning can replace finite element simulations to achieve second-level prediction of stress and deformation fields, accelerating topology optimization . In new material development, machine learning builds composition–process–microstructure–performance databases for high-throughput material screening and composition optimization. For high-temperature alloys, titanium alloys, aluminum alloys, and other additive-manufacturing-specific materials, machine learning can quickly predict formability and mechanical properties of different composition systems, shortening new material R&D cycles from months to weeks and reducing experimental costs by more than 80% [2,3] .

4. Existing Challenges of Applying Machine Learning to Additive Manufacturing

4.1 Insufficient Data Quality and Quantity

High-quality labeled data are the foundation of machine learning model training. The additive manufacturing field suffers from small data volumes, high labeling costs, large data noise, and inconsistent working conditions, leading to weak model generalization and difficulty in transferring models across different equipment and materials [2].

4.2 Poor Model Interpretability

Although deep learning models offer powerful fitting and prediction capabilities, they exhibit typical "black-box" characteristics and cannot clearly reveal the underlying physical mechanisms between process parameters and forming quality or mechanical properties. In fields with extremely high reliability requirements—such as aerospace, nuclear power, and high-end medical devices—lack of interpretability makes it difficult for models to pass industry standard certification and engineering acceptance, severely limiting the industrial implementation of machine learning in high-end additive manufacturing .

4.3 Difficulties in Cross-Scale Modeling and Multi-Physics Field Coupling

The forming process in additive manufacturing involves multi-scale behaviors from microscopic atomic motion to mesoscopic melt pool evolution and macroscopic component deformation. Current machine learning models are mostly built for a single scale and struggle to achieve correlation analysis and accurate prediction across scales. At the same time, purely data-driven models have insufficient integration with physical mechanisms and multi-physics coupling laws, leaving considerable room for improvement in prediction accuracy and stability under varying conditions [4].

4.4 High Difficulty in Real-Time Performance and Embedded Deployment

Industrial sites require millisecond-level response speeds for online monitoring and closed-loop control of additive manufacturing, yet complex deep learning models have large computational demands and high hardware requirements, making direct deployment on embedded devices and edge computing terminals difficult and preventing true real-time online closed-loop control [4].

4.5 Lack of Industry Standards and Specifications

At present, applications of machine learning in additive manufacturing lack unified public datasets, model evaluation metrics, experimental validation procedures, and industry application standards, making it difficult to reproduce results across research teams and hindering scaled promotion and industrialization of related technologies [6].

5. Future Development Trends

5.1 Physics-Informed Machine Learning as the Mainstream Direction

Incorporating the physical mechanisms of additive manufacturing, material constitutive equations, and fundamental laws of thermodynamics and fluid dynamics into model construction and training to create physics-informed machine learning models can simultaneously improve prediction accuracy and interpretability under small-sample conditions, achieving complementary advantages of physical mechanisms and data-driven approaches. This will become the core development direction of future intelligent additive manufacturing technologies .

5.2 Digital Twins and Closed-Loop Intelligent Control

By leveraging machine learning, multi-source sensor monitoring, and virtual simulation technologies, a full-process digital twin of additive manufacturing can be built to realize real-time bidirectional mapping between physical forming processes and virtual simulation models. A closed-loop intelligent control system of "online sensing–model prediction–command output–real-time correction" will enable additive manufacturing equipment to autonomously adjust process parameters, comprehensively improving forming stability and product consistency [5, 6].

5.3 Small-Sample Learning and Self-Supervised Learning

To address the scarcity of labeled data in additive manufacturing, small-sample learning, self-supervised learning, and transfer learning technologies should be developed to reduce dependence on large labeled datasets and improve model applicability in multi-variety, small-batch production scenarios .

5.4 Standardization and Open-Source Ecosystem Development

Collaborating among universities, research institutes, and leading enterprises to establish unified multi-source datasets, model evaluation benchmarks, application interface standards, and safety specifications for additive manufacturing will promote the development and application of open-source data platforms and open-source models, break industry data barriers, accelerate industry–academia–research–application collaboration and innovation, and lay the foundation for scaled application of machine learning technologies [6].

6. Conclusion

Machine learning provides additive manufacturing with a data-driven full-process optimization solution and has achieved breakthrough progress in design acceleration, process optimization, online monitoring, defect control, performance assurance, and new material development, effectively solving pain points of traditional methods such as difficulty in nonlinear modeling, high trial-and-error costs, and poor stability. With the maturation of multi-sensor fusion, digital twins, physics-informed machine learning, and the industrial internet, intelligent additive manufacturing will move from laboratories to scaled production, supporting high-quality development in high-end equipment, biomedicine, new energy, and other fields.

Future research should focus on the fusion of physical mechanisms and data-driven approaches, interpretable models, cross-scale generalization, and lightweight deployment; establish standardized systems; and promote the upgrade of additive manufacturing toward autonomy, self-adaptation, and intelligence, providing core technical support for intelligent and advanced manufacturing.

References

[1] Cao, Y., Chen, C., Guo, K., Hu, T., Xu, S., Wang, J., Ren, Z. Research Progress of Machine Learning in Metal Additive Manufacturing Technology, Special Casting & Nonferrous Alloys. 2024, 44(11), 1454-1465. https://doi.org/10.15980/j.tzzz.2024.11.003

[2] Su, J., Chen, L., Tan, C., Zhou, Y., Weng, F., Yao, X., Jiang, F., Teng, J. Progress in Machine Learning-Assisted Process Optimization and Novel Material Development in Additive Manufacturing, Chinese Journal of Lasers. 2022, 49(14), 3-14. https://doi.org/10.3788/CJL202249.1402101

[3] Wang, Y., Li, H., Xue, L., Hu, F. Current Status and Reflections on the Development of Material Databases for Additive Manufacturing, China Standardization. 2024(S1), 287-292.

[4] Tian, G., Zhu, F., Wang, W., Wang, X., Zhao, Y., Han, G., Ren, Z., Zhu, S. Application of Sensor Monitoring Based on Machine Learning in Metal Laser Additive Manufacturing, Materials Reports. 2025, 39(2), 175-190. https://doi.org/10.11896/cldb.23080174

[5] Chan, Y., Chen, C., Zhang, M. Review of in-Situ Monitoring Research in Metal Additive Manufacturing Processes, Materials Reports. 2019, 33(17), 2839-2846,2867.

[6] Zhu, J., Zhou, H., Wang, C., Zhou, L., Yuan, S., Zhang, W. Current Status and Future of Topology Optimization Technology for Additive Manufacturing, Aeronautical Manufacturing Technology. 2020, 63(10), 24-38. https://doi.org/10.16080/j.issn1671-833x.2020.10.024

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment

This paper is an output of the science project.